



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/922,671	08/07/2001	Shigeki Furuya	60188-084	1398		
7:	590 04/01/2002					
Jack Q. Lever, Jr. McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY 600 Thirteenth Street, N.W.			EXAMINER			
			WARREN, MATTHEW E			
Washington, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2815			
			DATE MAILED: 04/01/2002	DATE MAILED: 04/01/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/922,671		FURUYA ET AL.		
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Matthew E. War	· ·	2815	
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	communication app	ars on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespond nce a	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CO - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date - If the period for reply specified above is less - If NO period for reply is specified above, the - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for the set of the set	OMMUNICATION. The provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 of this communication. The thirty (30) days, a reply maximum statutory period writed for reply will, by statute, ree months after the mailing	6(a). In no event, howe within the statutory min ill apply and will expire s cause the application to	ver, may a reply be tim imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from	ely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of	ely. communication.
1) Responsive to communication	ition(s) filed on 07 A	uaust 2001			
2a)☐ This action is FINAL .		s action is non-fi	201		
3) Since this application is in					
closed in accordance with Disposition of Claims	the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle,	1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	ie ments is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pendir	ig in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) _	is/are withdraw	n from considera	ation.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allow		•			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected	d. ·				
7) Claim(s) is/are object	ted to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject	to restriction and/or	election requirer	nent.		
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected	to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on	_ is/are: a)□ accept	ed or b) objecte	ed to by the Exan	niner.	
Applicant may not request the	at any objection to the	drawing(s) be held	l in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)☐ The proposed drawing corre	ction filed on	is: a)∏ approve	d b)∏ disapprov	ed by the Examin	er.
If approved, corrected drawin	gs are required in repl	y to this Office acti	on.		
12)☐ The oath or declaration is ob	jected to by the Exa	miner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and	120				
13) Acknowledgment is made o	f a claim for foreign	priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ N	one of:				
 Certified copies of the 	priority documents	have been recei	ved.		
2. Certified copies of the	priority documents	have been recei	ved in Applicatio	n No	
3. Copies of the certified application from the structure of the structure.* See the attached detailed Off	ne International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).		Stage
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a	a claim for domestic	priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e)	(to a provisional	application).
a) The translation of the fo	reign language prov	isional applicatio	n has been rece	ived.	•
Attachment(s)		, , ,,	55		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO) 		5) 🔲 🗆		PTO-413) Paper No(atent Application (PT	
.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Acti	on Summary	·	Part of	f Paper No. 5

Application/Control Number: 09/922,671

Art Unit: 2815

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

Figures 30-41 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Karasawa et al. (US 6,320,234 B1).

Karasawa et al. shows (fig. 1) a CMOS basic cell comprising an N-channel transistor region (16) and a P-channel transistor region (18) isolated from each other by

Application/Control Number: 09/922,671

Art Unit: 2815

an insulating film (20) on a substrate (10). An interconnect pattern (80) extends along a direction perpendicular to a boundary between the N-channel transistor region and the P-channel transistor region and provide independently of the N-channel transistor region and the P-channel transistor region. The interconnect patter is formed in an uppermost interconnect layer among one, two, or more interconnect layers of the basic cell. Another interconnect pattern (86) extends along a direction parallel to a boundary (the boundary being an imaginary vertical line) between the N-channel transistor region and P-channel transistor region. The patter is used for connection to an interconnect pattern of another cell.

Claims 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lee et al. (US 5,874,754).

Lee et al. shows (fig. 2) a CMOS basic cell to be used with other basic cells having the same structure disposed on right and left hand sides comprising N and P-channel transistors formed on the substrate (12) wherein a gate (20) of the N and P-channel transistors is in a hooked shape including a first part (28 top of gate) bending on sideward direction at an upper portion. A second bent part (28 bottom of gate) bends in the other sideward direction at a lower portion. A diffusion region (30)of the N and P-channel transistors is in a hooked shape having a first bent part (side of region labeled 30) bending in one sideward direction at an upper portion and a second bent part (side of region labeled 32) bending in the other sideward direction. The N and P-channel transistors are formed to extend along a vertical direction. Second N and P-

channel transistors are formed on either side of the N and P-channel transistors respectively. The gates of each transistors are in a hooked shape. The gates of the transistors overlap each other when viewed along the vertical direction. The transistors share a diffusion region (col. 8, line 66 – col. 9, line 6). Outside of the transistor region, a fixed interconnection region includes power supply and ground interconnects.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Karasawa et al. (US 6,320,234 B1).

Karasawa et al. shows all of the elements of the claims except the method of fabricating the gate array. A "product by process" claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, In re Hirao, 190 USPQ 15 at 17(footnote 3). See also in re Brown, 173 USPQ 685: In re Luck, 177 USPQ 523; In re Fessmann, 180 USPQ 324: In re Avery, 186 USPQ 116 in re Wertheim, 191 USPQ 90 (209 USPQ 254 does not deal with this issue); and In re Marosi et al, 218 USPQ 289 final product per se which must be determined in a "product by, all of" claim, and not the patentability of the process, and that an old or obvious product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not. Note that Applicant has the burden of proof in such cases, as the above

Application/Control Number: 09/922,671

Art Unit: 2815

. · ·

case law makes clear. "Even though product-by- process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based upon the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product is made by a different process." In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985)(citations omitted).

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al. (US 5,874,754).

Lee et al. shows all of the elements of the claims except the method of fabricating the gate array. A "product by process" claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, In re Hirao, 190 USPQ 15 at 17(footnote 3). See also in re Brown, 173 USPQ 685: In re Luck, 177 USPQ 523; In re Fessmann, 180 USPQ 324: In re Avery, 186 USPQ 116 in re Wertheim, 191 USPQ 90 (209 USPQ 254 does not deal with this issue); and In re Marosi et al, 218 USPQ 289 final product per se which must be determined in a "product by, all of" claim, and not the patentability of the process, and that an old or obvious product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not. Note that Applicant has the burden of proof in such cases, as the above case law makes clear. "Even though product-by- process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based upon the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the

Application/Control Number: 09/922,671 Page 6

Art Unit: 2815

prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product is made by a different

process." In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985)(citations omitted).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Arima et al. (US 6,091,088), Iranmanesh (6,177,709 B1), and

Zhu et al. (US 6,133,079) also show CMOS devices having modified gate arrays and

interconnect structures.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Matthew E. Warren whose telephone number is (703)

305-0760. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs, and alternating Fri,

9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Eddie Lee can be reached on (703) 308-1690. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3432 for

regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0956.

MEW MEW

March 24, 2002

Jeromo Jackson, Jr. Primary Evaminer